



Ultra diffuse and low surface brightness galaxies: observational signatures and dark matter content

Arianna Di Cintio Marie Curie IF @IAC In collaboration with C.Brook, A.Maccio', A.Dutton, S. Cardona-Barrero

DIGESTIVO Project

DIffuse Galaxy Expansion SignaTures In Various Observables project: understanding the emergence of diffuse, low surface brightness galaxies and the link to their dark matter haloes

30.09.2019

DIGESTIVO

Operational definition

UDGs : Low surface brightness galaxies with M*~107-9 M sun

'CLASSIC LSBs': Low surface brightness galaxies with M*~109-10 M sun

Giant LSBs: LSBs with central p

orightness and $M^* >> 10^{10} M_{sun}$

~1000 UDGs in Coma cluster

Low surface brightness objects with LUMINOSITY of dwarfs (M* = 10⁷⁻⁹ Msun) but SIZES of Milky Way-type spirals. Are they formed outside of clusters?



van Dokkum +15 using Dragonfly Telephoto Array See also Koda +15 using SUBARU Suprime-Cam Roman & Truijllo 16 using SDSS Stripe82, See also Mihos+15, Van der Burg+16 • 30.09.2019

UDGs in/around Abell 168



Are UDGs dwarfs of failed L*?

Measured total mass of VCC 1287 is (8.0±4.0) x 10¹⁰ Msun

They could be the the highspin tail of an abundant dwarf galaxy population

Amorisco & Loeb16

-2.0

-1.5

 $\log_{10} \lambda$



• 30.09.2019

-1.0

'Classic' LSBs

C. Impey & G. Bothun 97

LSB are found in isolations Have no or small bulge Most of their baryonic matter in HI Most LSBs are dwarf galaxies (M* = 10⁹⁻¹⁰ Msun) DM dominated objects

Merritt, A+14

NGC 5485 (30 Mpc)

NGC 5475

NGC 5474

M101 (7 Mpc)

Can LCDM predict and explain the existence of such low surface brightness objects?



Pls Maccio', Dutton

- Gasoline 2.0-blastwave feedback a la Stinson+06
- Planck Cosmology
- 125 high resolution (zoomed) galaxies
- more than 10⁶ particles in each halo
- 10⁵ 10¹¹ M_☉ stellar mass range (5x10⁸ – 5x10¹² M_☉)
- 100 times better resolution than ILLUSTRIS
- 50 times better resolution than EAGLE volume
- 10 times more galaxies than FIRE (1.3 vs. 120) 30.09.2019





Similar to previous MAGICC galaxies (Brook+12,Stinson+13) but with improved GASOLINE code,

- Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics (SPH) (Wadsley+06)
- New low temperature and Metal Cooling (Shen+ 2010)
- UV heating (Haardt & Madau 2011)
- Metal Diffusion (Wadsley+ 2010)
- Star Formation and SN feedback (Stinson+06)

Chabrier IMF & Early Stellar feedback (Stinson, Brook, AM+ 2013)

New SPH implementation (Wadsley+2017)



Wang +15



• 30.09.2019

stingstameter search within MaGICC project



Gas outflows launched by Snae feedback

Credit:A. Dutton, NIHAO simulations

From gas outflows to DM 'cores'

Core formation mechanism -> outflows driven by SNae feedback



Pontzen & Governato 14 Navarro+96 Governato+10.+12

• 30.09.2019

See Dutton+18 and Benitez-Lllambay+18 for the importance of n_{th} in creating `cores' •

NIHAO galaxies form DM cores

Peak of core formation at $log(M^*/Mhalo) \sim -2.4 \rightarrow M^* \sim 10^{8.5}$ Msun (Di Cintio+14a,b) Core created during starburst events that launch powerful gas outflows



Di Cintio +14 a,b Chan+15 Tollet+16

Dark matter profiles determined by two opposite effects: energy from Sne vs gravitational potential of the DM halo

Sweet spot of core formation



Small dwarfs not enough energy from stellar feedback to modify NFW halo Intermediate dwarfs/LSBs correct amount of energy from Snae Large spirals can not 'win' the large grav potential of 10¹² halo with SNae alone

• 30.09.2019

Sweet spot of core formation



Brook & Di Cintio2015a

Searching for UDGs in NIHAO

Di Cintio, Brook +17

X	$\overline{X}\pm\sigma$	$\mathbf{X}_{min,max}$
$\log(M^{\star}[M_{\odot}])$	7.66±0.42	6.83, 8.40
$\log(M_{halo}[M_{\odot}])$	$10.53 {\pm} 0.18$	10.22 , 10.85
$r_e[\text{kpc}]$	1.8/±0.33	1.07 , 3.06
μ_e [mag/arcsec ²]	$25.23 {\pm} 0.94$	23.69, 26.84
M_R	-14.61 ± 1.07	-16.25 , -12.57
B-R	0.77 ± 0.12	0.54, 0.97
nSersic	$0.83 {\pm} 0.27$	0.31, 1.46
$\gamma(1-2\% R_{vir})$	$0.37{\pm}0.18$	0.01, 0.78
$\log(\lambda)$	$-1.48 {\pm} 0.25$	-2.04 , -1.17

They are dwarfs! And isolated...



• 30.09.2019

Formation scenario of UDGs



Di Cintio,Brook +17 •

• 30.09.2019

Observational Predictions

The largest isolated UDGs should contain more HI gas, have a larger baryon fraction and a more extended and bursty SFH than less extended dwarfs of similar M*

UDGs could be the **dark galaxies** of the ALFALFA survey

Di Cintio +17 (see also Onorbe+15, Read+16 for core size dependence on SFH in smaller haloes)



HI-bearing isolated UDGs

115 isolated UDGs found in ALFALFA survey. Bluer than in clusters, supporting scenario in which UDGs form in isolation and then accrete into clusters Isolated UDGs tend to be HI-rich relative to their stellar mass



Leisman +17 using ALFALFA data

CDM+ baryonic physics Predictions for UDGs!

- Halo mass of dwarfs
- Found in isolation
- Gas rich => the largest ones have higher gas fraction
- Bluer in field than in cluster
- Large gas extent
- ALFALFA dark galaxies can harbor UDGs
- Correlation between SFH and size
- Sersic index n~1
- Dark matter core!

OBS VERIFIED! WORK IN PROGRESS •

The 'galaxy lacking DM'

NGC1052–DF2, Van Dokkum+18

Trujillo +18 => Mdyn(<5.2kpc) ~4x10⁸Msun and M*=6x10⁷ Msun by placing the galaxy at D=13 Mpc

Different profiles (NFW, DC14, Burkert) provide a Mhalo> 10⁹ Msun -> Mhalo/M*>20



^{18/7/2018} SEA-Salamanca •

NGC1052–DF2 M*-Mhalo



Is the formation mechanism of LSBs the same as the UDGs'one?

- (Surprisingly ?) the formation scenario of large `classic ´LSBs (Mstar~10^{9.5-10}M_{sun}) is different than the one of small UDGs (Mstar~10⁷⁻⁹M_{sun})
- And so are the observational predictions ...

What makes LSBs?

- SNae driven Gas outflows => cores in DM and stars?
- Differences in SFHs? Late vs early
- Low concentration haloes?
- Large spin parameter?
- Differences in gas fraction?
- Mergers? Time of last mayor mergers?
- ... something else?



Selection of LSB/HSBs candidates

Only possible with NIHAO sims!









Di Cintio +19 •

• 30.09.2019

Comparison with obs dataset



Di Cintio +19 •

• 30.09.2019

Morphology of LSB/HSBs face-on







30.09.2019

SFHs of LSB/HSBs



DM profiles, spin and concentration





LSBs:

- do not strongly correlate with density slope of their DM halos
- do not live in systematically low concentration halos
- they positively correlates with DM halo spin



• 30.09.2019

Di Cintio +19 •

LSBs do not correlate with LMM time



LSBs => co-planar mergers



HSBs=>perpendicular mergers



Co-planar vs Perpendicular merger configuration



Di Cintio +19 •

LSBs do care about orbital alignment at merger time!



LSBs do care about the alignment of inner and outer gas/baryons at $z = M_{\frac{1}{2}}$



Inner and outer shells of baryons that will make up the galaxy at z=0 are well aligned already at z=M_{1/2}

See Sales+12 for similar methodology

Spatially resolved SFR in LSBs



Conclusions

- LSBs are in a mass range where SNae feedback alone is NOT sufficient to "expand" the stellar and gas disks. Prevalent role of mergers: co-planar mergers and aligned inflows of gas add angular momentum and make a large disk, perpendicular mergers and un-aligned accretion cause a higher SB galaxy
- UDGs formation mechanism is solely based on internal feedback driving gas outflows and generating DM and stellar "expansion". Obs signatures: largest gas fraction for largest Reff
- For LOW SURFACE BRIGHTNESS OBJECTS, Mstar~10⁹M_{sun} represents the transition regime from a "feedback dominated" formation scenario to a "angular momentum dominated" one!
- Diversity of SBs in the M*=10⁹⁻¹⁰ M_{sun} regime is a reflection of the variety of mergers and accretion histories of galaxies, on top of mildly expanded DM halos

Discussion

- Star formation in dwarf LSBs doesn't evolve as smoothly and uniformly as it happens for LSB disks (McGaugh & de Blok 97). LSBs dwarfs seem to have higher gas fractions than those of their more massive counterpart (Schombert et al. 01)
- These aspects are detected in our simulations, with low mass UDGs having bursty-like SFHs and high gas fractions, f_g, up to 97%, while more massive LSBs, from this work, showing continuous SFHs and lower f_g50%.
- Next step... find observational signatures of these two different formation mechanisms, by studying metallicity gradients, V/ σ ... (Cardona-Barrero's Master Project)

Metallicity



Stellar V/ σ

